FILED

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

AUG 04 2009

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MIGUEL RINCON ROJAS; et al,

Petitioners,

v.

ERIC H. HOLDER Jr., Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 07-74169

Agency Nos. A095-444-279 A095-444-308

A095-444-309

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted July 29, 2009**

Before: WALLACE, LEAVY, and HAWKINS, Circuit Judges.

Miguel Rincon Rojas, and his family, natives and citizens of Mexico, petition for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order denying their motion to reopen. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

for abuse of discretion the denial of a motion to reopen, *Singh v. INS*, 295 F.3d 1037, 1039 (9th Cir. 2002), and review de novo claims of constitutional violations in immigration proceedings, *Ram v. INS*, 243 F.3d 510, 516 (9th Cir. 2001). We deny the petition for review.

We conclude that the BIA acted within its broad discretion in determining that the evidence presented with the motion to reopen was insufficient to warrant reopening. *See Singh*, 295 F.3d at 1039 (BIA's denial of a motion to reopen shall be reversed only if it is "arbitrary, irrational, or contrary to law"). It follows that petitioner's due process claim fails. *See Lata v. INS*, 204 F.3d 1241, 1246 (9th Cir. 2000) (requiring error for a petitioner to prevail on a due process claim).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.